

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

.UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,821	03/05/2002	Toshihiko Kawakubo	220352US0	4778	
22850	7590 09/24/2003				
•	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE ALEXANDR	STREET IA, VA 22314		HARLAN, ROBERT D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/087,821	KAWAKUBO ET A	KAWAKUBO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert D. Harlan	1713			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimuly ill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final	I <b>.</b>			
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			ne merits is		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration	าก			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	John Soniolagian	<b></b>			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on			ner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	Stage		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		l application).		
<ul> <li>a)    The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>	• •				
Attachment(s)	-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No otice of Informal Patent Application (PT her:			

Application/Control Number: 10/087,821 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wierer et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,314,530 (hereinafter "Wierer"). Wierer teaches a process for preparing under emulsion or suspension polymerization conditions, vinyl chloride using an anti-foam agent, polyvinyl alcohol, and in some cases an olefinic comonomer. See Wierer, Abstract; col. 3, lines 21-27; col. 5, lines 22-42; Example 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior

Application/Control Number: 10/087,821

Art Unit: 1713

art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wierer in view of Coleman, U.S. Patent No. 4,230,843 (hereinafter "Coleman"). The present claim 3 differs from Wierer in that Wierer does not teach the use of a silicone anti-foam agent. Silicon anti-foaming agents PVC preparations are common as exemplified in the examples section. See Coleman, col. 17, line 6. In view of Coleman, one having an ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Wierer by using a silicone anti-foam agent. Such modification would be obvious because one would expect that PVC polymerizations as taught by

Application/Control Number: 10/087,821

Art Unit: 1713

3 11 . .

Wierer would be similarly useful and applicable to the polymerizations taught in Coleman.

### Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (703) 306-5926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9559 for regular communications and (703) 872-9559 for After Final communications.
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

rdh

September 10, 2003

Page 4